

Midblock Related:	Failure to Yield at Un-signalized Location:		Co	ountermeasure	s with the exten	sion (eg B3)	are referenced	d on the other sid	е
Dart Out		General Engineering	The Walking	Road Design:	Intersection Treatments:	Traffic Calming:	Traffic Management:	Signs and Signals:	Other Measures:
			Environment:	9) Curb Radius Reduction C7	18) Roundabouts F5	23) Curb extensions A1, B3	36) Diverters	40) Traffic Signals F2,I2	51) School Zone improvements 52) Identify Neighborhood
		Counternaceure	1) Sidewalks / Walkways A4, E3	10) Bicycle lanes D1, A6, J4	19) Modified T-Intersection	24) Choker 25) Crossing Island J2, E6	37) Full Street Closure	41) Upgrade/Modify Pedestrian Signal Timing	53) Speed Monitoring Eq.
		Countermeasure	2) Street Furniture (design, location, etc)	12) Reduction in lanes	20) Intersection Median Barriers 21) Improved Visibility A1, B3	26) Chicanes A8	39) Pedestrian Streets/Mall	42) Traffic Signal Enhancements 43) Pedestrian Signals H4, G1, I2, J7	54) ADA Design J5, A4 55) On-street Parking
			3) Curb Ramps J5	13) Street conversions one/two-way	22) Tactile Strip J5	28) Speed Hump/Table E7		44) RTOR Restrictions	56) Remove/Restrict Parking A5
	ingly phone had		 Marked Crosswalks & Enhancements E6, C2, F7 	15) Well designed Right-turn Slip lanes		29) Raised Intersection 30) Raised Pedestrian Crossing		46) Add/Modify Signing G6, J4	58) Adopt Ordinance
Description: At a midblock location, the motorist's view of the pedestrian was blocked until an instant before	Description: The motorist failed to yield to the pedestrian and/or the pedestrian stepped directly		5) Transit Stop Treatments G3	16) Raised medians J2, E6		31) Gateways		47) Prohibit Left Turns 48) ITS Technologies 61 68 17 12	59) Education Program
impact.	into the path of the oncoming vehicle.		6) Roadway lighting7) Pedestrian Overpass or Underpass	h))) cocstnan barriers		32) Landscaping 33) Specific Paving Treatments		49) Pedestrian Detector/push button	61) Enforcement
Dash		Crash Group / Problem	8) Shared Use Paths E4			A1, B3 34) Serpentine Decign		in Median H4, J7 50) Shared Use Paths E4	62) School Bus Stop Treatment
						35) Woonerf			64) GIS Tools
	Intersection Related:	Midblock Related:							
5- 5- 5- 5- 5- 5- 5- 5- 5- 5- 5- 5- 5- 5	H A Mahiala	Child runs into collector street.	6	10,11,12		23,26,28,31,35	36,37,38,39	46	56,57,59
	Venicie	High Speed and/or high-volume arterial street. Multiple Threat:	4,5,6,7	16		23,24,25		40,42,43,46,48	53,56,58,61,
Description: At a midblock location, the pedestrian		Sight distance problem.	5,6,	17		23,30		40,43,45,46,48	(1
was struck while running and the motorist's view of		Other:		11,12,16		25,26,28		46	61
the pedestrian was not obstructed.		Struck while going to/from:		11 12		25.20			50.50
		b) mailbox	6	11,12		25,28		46	59,60
Multiple Threat	Description:The pedestrian and vehicle collided	Struck while getting into/from parked vehicle or by a speeding vehicle.				26,28		46	53,56,59,61
	while the vehicle was preparing to turn, in the process of turning, or had just completed a turn	Bus Related:							
	(or merge).	Limited Sight distance at intersection.	2,5,6			23,25			
All a static all a	Driver Viglation	Midblock location with high speeds or volumes.	1,5,6	10,17		23		45	59,61
A a a	At Intersection	Failure to Yield at Unsignalized Location:	1,0					46	59,61,62
Description: The pedestrian entered the traffic lane at	At intersection	On 2-lane, low speed road.				23,24,25,26,28,29,30		42,43,46	
midblock in front of standing or stopped traffic and was		Crossing multi-lane road.	5,6	10,11,12,16		23,25		40,42,43	61
struck by another vehicle moving in the same direction as the stopped traffic.		Intersection Related:		10,11,12,16,17		25,31		40,42,43,46	01
		Turning Vehicle/s:							
	Description: The pedestrian was struck by a vehicle	Large number of pedestrian or left turn vehicles.	6	13	18	23,24,25		40,41,42,43,46,47	59
	the driver committed a violation such as careless	School Children crossing and large left-turn vehicle movement.	6	5,15	21	23,24,25		41,46,47	57,59,61
Bus Related:	driving, failed to yield, signal/sign violation, speeding,	Inadequate Sight Distance and/or intersection geometrics. Through Vehicle:	6	9,15,17	21	23,24,25,27,32		42,43,46,47	56
School	or DWI, etc.	Pedestrians cannot see traffic signals.	5		21			43	
	Trance	Excessive delay to pedestrians prior to getting the walk interval. Lack of pedestrian compliance with WALK phase due to other causes.	7			25	39	41,48,49	57,59
		Motorist does not see pedestrian in time to stop.	5,6	16	21	23,25,29,30		45	53,56
		Other:	/					40,45,40	JZ,J7,J9,01,04
		Slow walking pedestrian.	3,7	9,16	21	23,24,25		41,48,49	59
		Walking Along Roddway:	1	11 12				46	
Description: The pedestrian was struck going to or from a school bus or school bus stop		High vehicle speeds and /or volumes.	1,6	11,12				46	61
	crossing at a signalized intersection when the light	Route to school. Inaccessible sidewalk.	1 123	14	22	28		46	52,53,57,59,61,63,64 54
	changed and traffic started moving.	Working/Playing in Road:	145						54
		Worker struck in the roadway.	6	17				46	59,61,63
Bus Related		Pedestrian playing on foot or on play vehicle. Excessive speeds on local streets	1,6	11,12		28,31,35	37,38,39	46	59 53.61
		Disabled Vehicle Related:		11/14		20,27,20,51,54		10	55,61
		a) waiking to/from b) working on or standing by	1,6						59,63
		Not in Road:							30,00
Description: The pedestrian was struck by another		Pedestrian was struck at or near curb.	1,6	9,15,17		23			59,61
vehicle while crossing in front of a commercial bus		Pedestrian was struck in driveway, etc. Vehicle enters or exits a driveway or alley and strikes a pedestrian.	1,2,6	14	21				59
stopped at a marked bus stop.		Backing Vehicle:	1 1 4=1						
		Pedestrian struck by backing vehicle.	2	14	21				59
		Crossing on Expressway:							
		Disabled Vehicle	6						59,61,63



Reducing traffic speeds not only reduces the severity of pedestrian crashes, but may reduce their occurrence. Faster vehicle speeds result in increase breaking distance, and also an increase in the distance a vehicle will travel during the 2.5 second perception/reaction time as shown in Figure 2.



For more information (or copies) contact: Leverson Boodlal, P.E. at (202) 366-8044, e-mail: leverson.boodlal@fhwa.dot.gov or visit the website at: http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/programs/ped_bike.htm

The brochure is disseminated under the sponsorship of the Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for the contents or use thereof. The contents of the brochure do not constirute a standard, specification or regulation.

Author: Leverson Boodlal, P.E.

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Desc of th

1000

Publication No. FHWA-SA-00-010

What is the Focus of this brochure?

This brochure "A Walkable Community" focuses on the design of safe and successful pedestrian facilities. A Crash Group/General Engineering Countermeasure Matrix identifies potential solutions for use by safety practitioners. This matrix is particularly helpful as a categorical resource of potential countermeasures, which may be implemented at a location to address a particular pedestrian crash type. Some of the engineering countermeasures are illustrated on the map (other side) as referenced.

Moreover, this Matrix should be used in conjunction with local site data, including the number of pedestrian crashes and types, traffic and pedestrian volumes, vehicle speeds, and road width to develop the most suitable countermeasure. Conscientious planning, effective education programs, and consistent safety and law enforcement also contribute to improving our communities for pedestrians. A "walkable" community is much more than just sidewalks...

When designing pedestrian facilities it is important to understand the needs and characteristics of the pedestrian and those which affect their travel. Some of the these needs and characteristics are highlighted in this section.

Table 1: Crossing Distances, Speeds and Time

Older Adult Crossing Average Distance Pedestrian Pedestrian Crossing Time Crossing Time (4 ft/sec.) (2.5 ft/sec.) 24 ft. (2 lanes) 9.6 secs. б secs 58 ft. (4 lanes 14.4 secs.. 23.3 secs. with bike lane)

Table 1 shows that as crossing distance increases, crossing time may vary by pedestrian user by as much as 1.6 times that of the average pedestrian. This does not include start-up times. Transportation practitioners need to be aware of their design audience and cater to all users,

e.g. allocation of pedestrian signal timings. Table 2: Effects of curb radius on pedestrian

crossing times and distances (7) (C7)							
Sidewalk width	5 ft	5 ft	5 ft				
Curb return radius	10'	15'	25'				
Crossing distance to be added	6.8'	13.4'	13.4'				
Pedestrian crossing time to be added to	1.9 secs.	3.8 secs	8.1 secs.				

secs. secs street crossing time Table 2 shows that the larger the curb radius at

intersections, the pedestrian crossing distance is increased and also the time to cross. Transportation practitioners need to balance the need for a larger curb radius against existing traffic characteristics, speed, and overall intersection safety.

At times, pedestrian facility improvements and expansions are not supported because use levels are low . Many reasons exist for low levels of pedestrian travel and include:

• Poorly designed facilities, excessive access points • Failure to provide a contiguous system of pedestrian

facilities

Concerns for personal safety

 Poor lighting Lack of separated facilities

- · Failure to provide facilities to and from popular origins/ destinations
- No protection from inclement weather
- Lack of pedestrian furniture (e.g. benches)

Table 3: Common Pedestrian Characteristics

Age	Characteristics
0 - 4	learning to walk, requiring constant supervision, developing peripheral vision and depth perception
5 - 12	Increasing independence but still requiring supervision, poor depth perception, susceptible to "Dart Out"/Intersection Dash
13 - 18	Sense of invulnerable, intersection dash
19 - 40	Active, fully aware of the environment
40 - 65	Slowing of reflexes
65 +	Street crossing difficulty, poor vision, difficulty hearing, high fatality rate

Different pedestrian age groups have different needs. Understanding the user needs help in the design and use of pedestrian facilities.

Reducing Turning Conflicts: Turning crashes kill or injure many pedestrians, some low-cost engineering countermeasures include:

• Design compact intersections with small turning radii that force slower speeds C7

- Prohibit Right-Turn-On-Red
- When right-turn slip lanes are used, place crosswalks as far upstream as possible and provide highly visible markings,

• During certain hours when there are higher concentrations of pedestrians crossing use a separate left-turn phase in conjunction with the WALK/DON'T WALK signal, or

restrict left turns Shorten crossing distance and exposure time with curb

extensions or bulb-outs A1

- Provide medians and refuge islands J2

 Provide well-illuminated crossings • Improve markings and visibility of crosswalks C2



alking Along Roadway:







• Place signs to remind motorists of their duty to "Yield" to pedestrians while turning left or right.

Older pedestrians and pedestrians with disabilities including those using special walking aids or wheelchairs, need carefully designed facilities that eliminate barriers. Pedestrian facility features that are helpful include:

- Curb cuts and ramps J5
- Tactile strips
- Easy-to-reach activation buttons H4
- Audible warnings and message systems
- Raised and Braille letters for communications • Pedestrian signal timing at slower than average walking speed
- Maximum grade of 1:20 and cross slope of 1:50 (ramps can be up to 1:12)
- Roadway crossing refuge J2, E6
- Traffic calming devices
- Smooth surfaces and unobstructed travel ways

As more and more construction/work zones appear in our urban areas the need to protect pedestrians and provide a safe travel way becomes more critical. Some consideration for pedestrians in work zones include:

- Separate pedestrians from conflicts with construction equipment
- Separate pedestrians from conflicts with re-routed traffic • Provide a safe, convenient, and accessible route that maintains the direction and character of the original route
- Minimize the amount of construction access points • Communicate construction activity and pedestrian impact
- through the local media and pedestrian interest groups Avoid using delineating materials that are difficult to recognize by persons with impaired sight

Common Characteristics of Pedestrian Collisions:

Driver inattention

⁽¹⁾WSDOT

- Struck by a vehicle while crossing at an intersection • Struck by a vehicle while crossing at an midblock
- Struck from behind while walking in the roadway in the same direction as traffic Motorist exceeding safe speed
- Darting out into the street at midblock (most common for children)
- Vehicles backing up (difficult to see children or other walking behind)
- Collisions in urban areas (~ 70 percent)

A Walkable Cohlmunitz is much more than just sidewalks... Your Town, USA

2 U.S. Department of Transportation **Federal Highway**

Common Characteristics of Pedestrian Friendly .ommunities:

